

Ver 1

Domination	Polarity content	Gender		Class		Culture	
	Condition	Male Chauvinism		Capital		White Chauvinism	
	Character	Personal Superiority		Economic Control		Cultural Superiority	
	Ideological Forms	Physical Superiority	Intellectual Superiority	<i>Accountable</i>	<i>Unaccountable</i>	<i>Physical Exclusion</i>	<i>Intell. Superiority</i>
	Traits						
Subordination	Condition	Sexism		Labor		Racism	
	Character	Personal Inferiority		Economic Dependence		Cultural Degradation	
	Response	Conform	Rebel	Conform	Rebel	Conform	Rebel
	Ideological Forms	Social Recognition	Personal Recognition	Social Responsibility	Personal Responsibility	Social Obligation	Personal Obligation
	Traits						

Table of Ideological Forms and Conditions

Preface

Consider this “for internal use only”. I have no historical source documents, but rely on unreliable memory. Also, the concepts were refined over time and should probably be refined again now.

One version of this chart contained Traits per IF, which made the IFs more recognizable. I left the cells in the table above, but do not remember the traits. Moreover, I believe that forms of motion correspond to IFs in the table.

Origin in time

This diagram was first presented when the left was debating the question “what is the principal contradiction: race, class or sex”.

Significance

Each person, from their dominant and subordinate influences (often two parents), developed under two of the ideological conditions in this table, which influenced their personal and social attitudes.

So, in response to the question “what is the principal contradiction”, each person will respond according to their own ideological form and experience. Thus the debate becomes confused and antagonistic.

Likewise in one particular situation, one ideological condition may dominate, while another ideological condition may dominate in another situation.

Moreover, while the capitalist class rules capitalist society, the ruling class uses male and white chauvinism and sexism and racism as control mechanisms. The conformant subordinate forms promote social peace, while the ruling class promotes male and white chauvinism to divide the subordinate forms. **As long as the subordinate forms remain disunited, the relations of domination and subordination cannot be overturned.**

Note the refinement of vocabulary: the table differentiates male chauvinism from sexism, and white chauvinism from racism. The force of male chauvinism creates the condition of sexism, and similarly white chauvinism creates racism. The dominant forms create and require their relative subordinates. So it is absurd to accuse someone who is rebelling against their ideological condition of being a “reverse sexist” or “reverse racist”.

Ver 2

Domination	Content	Gender		Class		Culture	
	Condition	Male Chauvinism		Capital		White Chauvinism	
	Character	Personal Superiority		Economic Control		Cultural Superiority	
	Ideological Forms	Physical Superiority	Intellectual Superiority				
	Traits						
Subordination	Condition	Sexism		Labor		Racism	
	Character	Personal Inferiority		Economic Dependence		Cultural Degradation	
	Response	Conform	React	Conform	React	Conform	React
	Ideological Forms	Social Recognition	Personal Recognition	Social Responsibility	Personal Responsibility	Social Obligation	Personal Obligation
	Traits						

Table of Ideological Forms and Conditions

Each person, from their dominant and subordinate influences (often two parents), developed under two of the ideological conditions in this table, which influenced their personal and social attitudes.

It is important not to interpret this table mechanically. Because each person has two influences, usually one of each gender, a female may end up in a male chauvinism ideological form, or a male in a sexism ideological form. And the Culture axis really is about culture, not race: for example, Irish and eastern europeans have been subjected to cultural degradation. Moreover, some of these ideological forms transition to others as conditions evolve: for example, as farmers move to the city.

Note the refinement of vocabulary: the table differentiates male chauvinism from sexism, and white chauvinism from racism. The force of male chauvinism creates the condition of sexism, and similarly white chauvinism creates racism. The dominant forms create and require their relative subordinates. So it is absurd to accuse someone who is reacting against their ideological condition of being a "reverse sexist" or "reverse racist".

While the capitalist class rules capitalist society, the ruling class uses male and white chauvinism and sexism and racism as control mechanisms. The conformant subordinate forms promote social peace, while the ruling class promotes male and white chauvinism to divide the subordinate forms. As long as the subordinate forms remain disunited, the relations of domination and subordination cannot be overturned.

All of the ideological forms in capitalist society are recreated constantly by the institutions of the capitalist system. Although contradictions of gender and culture existed previously, capitalism re-purposes them.

None of these forms are revolutionary. The subordinate forms that react to their ideological condition tend to be more progressive than those that conform, but the reaction is personal, and offers little solidarity.

Revolutionary forms arise when people collectively take control over their own development and engage in a process of ideological transformation.